



United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,212	01/25/2001	Anoop Gupta	MS1-673US	7356
7590 05/28/2004 EEE & HAYES, PLLC, Suite-500 421 W. Riverside Avenue			EXAMINER DENNISON, JERRY B	
			Spokane, WA	99201
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		pre			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Commence	09/771,212	GUPTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Bret Dennison	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	lanuary 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	er. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Application Number 09/771212 received on 25 January 2001.

2. Claims 1-48 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13 and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the electronic mail server" in lines 19-20, 21, and 23 on page 71. It is unclear to the Examiner which electronic mail server the limitation is referring to for each occurrence. Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the electronic mail server" in line2 on page 72. It is unclear to the Examiner which electronic mail server the limitation is referring to for each occurrence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2143

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hansen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,496,849).

5. Regarding claims 1, 6, 13, 16, and 22, Hansen discloses one or more computer-readable media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that, when executed by one or more processors of a computer, causes the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving collaborative electronic mail messages targeting one or more recipients, each collaborative electronic mail message including a feedback portion in which the one or more recipients can each add comments (Hansen, col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 7, and col. 4, lines 35-55, Hansen teaches a collaborative electronic mail messaging system where dynamic content can be changed or updated by participants in the group, allowing participants to add comments);

storing the content of the collaborative electronic mail message locally (Hansen, col. 6, lines 23-45, Hansen teaches storing the messages in a database);

Art Unit: 2143

indicating, to a computing device corresponding to one or more of the recipients, both the existence of the collaborative electronic mail messages and when the collaborative electronic mail messages are modified (Hansen, col. 4, line 57 through col. 5, line 11, Hansen teaches dynamic content being represented in a dynamic content region of the zaplet);

identifying, to the computing device, that the source of each collaborative electronic mail message, as well as any modifications to the collaborative electronic mail message, is the author of the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 5, lines 15-20); and

sending a message to each of one or more recipients of the new collaborative mail message, wherein the message includes an identifier of the new collaborative mail message at the application server and wherein the message identifies the creator as the sender of the message (Hansen, col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 5, Hansen teaches a collaborating communication system among participants in a group using messages),

the computer-readable media including:

an electronic mail server to receive a new collaborative mail message (Hansen, col. 6 line 65 through col. 7, line5);

another electronic mail server communicatively coupled to the electronic mail server (Hansen, col. 7, lines 27-63);

an application server, communicatively coupled to the electronic mail server, to store the content of the new collaborative mail message (Hansen, col. 7, lines 5-15); and

Art Unit: 2143

Page 5

wherein the electronic mail server is further to forward a message including an identifier of the content of the new collaborative mail message, as stored on the application server, to the other electronic mail server, and wherein the message identifies the creator of the new collaborative mail message as the sender of the message (Hansen, col. 7, lines 27-63).

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including identifying, to the computing device, the size of each collaborative electronic mail message, the size of a collaborative electronic mail message including all of the content of the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 33-55, Hansen teaches identifying message specific information, which includes message sizes).
- Regarding claim 3, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the new collaborative electronic mail message includes an identifier of a location at an application server where the content of the new collaborative electronic mail message is stored (Hansen, col. 6, lines 33-55, Hansen teaches using a database to store all message information, and databases require identifiers for location of the information on the server).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/771,212

Art Unit: 2143

8. Regarding claim 4, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving, from one of the one or more recipients, a reply to the new to collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 4, lines 45-50); and

communicating the reply to one or more electronic mail servers associated with recipients of the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 4, lines 1-10).

9. Regarding claim 5, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1, including wherein the plurality of instructions further cause the one or more processors to perform acts including:

receiving, from one of the one or more recipients, a reply to the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 2, lines 25-50, Hansen teaches users being able to reply to messages);

communicating the reply to an electronic mail server from which the new collaborative electronic mail message was received (Hansen, col. 6, lines 33-55, Hansen teaches the users sending their replies to the server); and

receiving, from the electronic mail server, a modified collaborative electronic mail message that incorporates the reply (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-25, Hansen teaches when the user accesses the server, the user receives the updated content).

Art Unit: 2143

10. Regarding claims 7 and 14, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 6 and 13, further comprising:

receiving a reply to the new collaborative mail message (Hansen, col. 12, lines 10-14);

modifying the new collaborative mail message in accordance with the reply(Hansen, col. 12, lines 14-17); and

sending another message to each of the one or more recipients, wherein the message includes an identifier of the modified collaborative mail message at the application server and wherein the message identifies the creator as the sender of the notification (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-27, Hansen teaches when the users access the server, the server sends the updated message).

- 11. Regarding claims 8 and 15, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 7 and 14, including wherein the identifier of the new collaborative mail message and the identifier of the modified collaborative mail message are the same identifier (Hansen, col. 5, lines 10-25, Hansen teaches users being able to modify their own message).
- 12. Regarding claim 9, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 6, including wherein the identifier comprises a uniform resource locator (URL) (Hansen, col. 7, lines 10-15).

Art Unit: 2143

- 13. Regarding claim 10, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 6, including wherein the sending comprises sending the message to one or more mail servers associated with the one or more recipients (Hansen, col. 9, lines 5-11).
- 14. Regarding claim 11, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 6, including wherein the method is implemented in an electronic mail server (Figure 2, 20, and 22).
- 15. Regarding claims 12 and 21, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 6 and 16, including one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 6 (Hansen, col. 6, line 65 through col. 7, line 67).
- 16. Regarding claim 17, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 16, including wherein the collaborative electronic mail message request comprises a request for a new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 9, lines 4-10, Hansen teaches initiating a new collaborative message).
- 17. Regarding claim 18, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 16, including wherein the collaborative electronic mail message

Art Unit: 2143

request comprises a reply to a previous collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 2, lines 25-50, Hansen teaches users being able to reply to messages).

- 18. Regarding claim 19, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 18, including wherein the storing comprises to modifying a previously stored collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 33-55, Hansen teaches updating a previous zaplet message with current content in the dynamic content area).
- 19. Regarding claim 20, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 16, further comprising maintaining for the collaborative electronic mail message:

a root identifier that identifies an initial collaborative electronic mail message corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 23-55);

a parent identifier that identifies a parent collaborative electronic mail message corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 23-55); and

a message identifier that identifies the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 23-55).

Art Unit: 2143

- 20. Regarding claims 23 and 24, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 22, including wherein the new request handler is to receive requests for new collaborative mail messages or replies to collaborative mail messages from other electronic mail servers (Hansen, Figure 1, 1-4, Hansen teaches the system receiving requests from web/email clients, which can be other electronic mail servers).
- 21. Regarding claim 25, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 22, including wherein the new request handler is to receive, from a client device corresponding to an author of a new collaborative mail message, a request for the new collaborative mail message (Figure 6, 503); and

communicate the request to one or more other electronic mail servers associated with recipients of the new collaborative mail message (Figure 6, 504).

22. Regarding claim 26, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 22, including wherein the reply request handler is to receive, from a client device corresponding to a recipient of a new collaborative mail message, a request to modify the new collaborative mail message, and communicate the request to one or more other electronic mail servers associated with other recipients of the new collaborative mail message or an author of the new collaborative mail message ((Figure 6, 503, col.5, lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2143

23. Regarding claim 27, Hansen discloses a method comprising:

receiving a response to a collaborative electronic mail message targeting to one or more recipients (Hansen, col. 7, lines 5-15);

Page 11

generating a new collaborative electronic mail message by modifying a stored copy of the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, lines 33-55, Hansen teaches updating a previous zaplet message with current content in the dynamic content area); and

communicating the response to one or more mail servers that each correspond to one or more of the one or more recipients (Figure 6, 504).

- 24. Regarding claim 28, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 27, including wherein the receiving comprises receiving the response from one of the one or more mail servers and wherein the communicating comprises communicating the response to the mail server from which the response was received (Figure 6, 504).
- 25. Regarding claim 29, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 27, further comprising identifying the content of the response to the collaborative electronic email message and wherein the communicating comprises communicating, as the response, the content of the response (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28).

Art Unit: 2143

26. Regarding claim 30, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 27, including wherein the response includes both the content of the collaborative electronic mail message as well as the content of the response, and wherein the communicating comprises communicating the response as received to the one or more mail servers (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28).

Page 12

- 27. Regarding claim 31, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 27, including one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 27 (Hansen, col. 6, line 65 through col. 7, line 67).
- 28. Regarding claim 32, Hansen discloses an electronic mail server comprising: a collaborative electronic mail storage location to maintain the content of a plurality of collaborative electronic mail messages (Hansen, col. 6, lines 23-55);

a reply request handler, coupled to the collaborative electronic mail storage location, to receive a reply to a collaborative electronic mail message maintained in the collaborative electronic mail storage location (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-27),

modify the stored collaborative electronic mail message in accordance with the reply, and communicate an indication of the modifications to the stored collaborative electronic mail message to one or more electronic mail servers that each correspond to a recipient of the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 4, lines 35-65).

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/771,212

Art Unit: 2143

- 29. Regarding claim 33, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 32, including wherein the reply request handler is to receive the reply from another electronic mail server and to communicate the indication of the modifications to the stored collaborative electronic mail message to the other electronic mail server (Hansen, col. 7, lines45-50).
- 30. Regarding claim 34, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 32, including wherein the indication includes only the changes to the collaborative electronic mail messages that are included in the reply (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28).
- 31. Regarding claim 35, Hansen discloses a method comprising:

 receiving, at a mail server, a response to a collaborative electronic mail message
 (Hansen, col. 4, lines 3-6);

entering the response as a tentative change to a copy of the collaborative electronic mail message stored at the mail server (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28); communicating the response to another mail server (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28); receiving a message from the other mail server of a modification to the collaborative electronic mail message, the modification being based on the response (col. 4, lines 3-6, Input from the participants is accepted by the server); and making, in response to the message, the tentative change permanent (Hansen, col. 4, lines 1-28).

Art Unit: 2143

32. Regarding claim 36, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 35, further comprising including, in the collaborative electronic mail message, an indication that the tentative change is not permanent until the message from the other mail server is received (Hansen, col. 4, lines 1-28, Hansen teaches updating the message after receiving input from other participants).

- 33. Regarding claim 37, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 35, including wherein the other mail server is a home server corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, line 65 through col. 7, line 65, Hansen discloses a server corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message).
- 34. Regarding claim 38, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 35, including one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 35 (Hansen, col. 6, line 65 through col. 7, line 67).
- 35. Regarding claim 39, Hansen discloses a method comprising:
 receiving a new collaborative electronic mail message request targeting one
 or more recipients (Hansen, col. 9, lines 5-10);

Art Unit: 2143

indicating, to a computing device corresponding to one of the recipients, the existence of the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 5, lines 40-50, Hansen teaches participants using a computer equipped to receive or read e-mail messages using standard email protocols); and

identifying, to the computing device, that the source of the new collaborative electronic mail message is the author of the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 5, lines 40-50, Hansen teaches participants using a computer equipped to receive or read e-mail messages using standard email protocols. Standard email messages include the author's identity).

36. Regarding claim 40, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 39, further comprising:

determining a size of the new collaborative electronic mail message, the size including the content of the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 5, lines 40-50, Hansen teaches participants using a computer equipped to receive or read e-mail messages using standard email protocols. Standard email messages include the size of the email); and

identifying, to the computing device the size of the new collaborative electronic mail message(Hansen, col. 5, lines 40-50, Hansen teaches participants using a computer equipped to receive or read e-mail messages using standard email protocols. Standard email messages identifying the size of the email).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,212 Page 16

Art Unit: 2143

37. Regarding claim 41, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 39, further comprising:

wherein the new collaborative electronic mail message includes an identifier of a location at an application server where the content of the new collaborative electronic mail message is stored (Hansen, col. 6, lines 24-50).

38. Regarding claim 42, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 39, further comprising:

receiving, from one of the one or more recipients, a reply to the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 7, lines 45-60); and

communicating the reply to one or more electronic mail servers associated to with recipients of the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28).

39. Regarding claim 43, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 39, further comprising:

receiving, from one of the one or more recipients, a reply to the new collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 7, lines 45-60);

communicating the reply to an electronic snail server from which the new collaborative electronic mail message was received (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28); and

Art Unit: 2143

receiving, from the electronic mail server, a modified collaborative electronic mail message that incorporates the reply (Hansen, col. 4, lines 10-28).

- 40. Regarding claim 44, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 39, including one or more computer-readable memories containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 39 (Hansen, col. 6, line 65 through col. 7, line 67).
- 45. A computer-readable medium having stored thereon a data structure comprising: a distribution list field that identifies the recipients of the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50);

a content field that includes all of the content of a collaborative electronic mail message, wherein replies to the collaborative electronic mail message alter the content in the content field (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50);

an author field that identifies an author of the content in the content field (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50);

41. Regarding claim 46, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 45, including wherein the data structure further comprises a root identifier that identifies an initial collaborative electronic mail message corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,212 Page 18

Art Unit: 2143

42. Regarding claim 47, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 45, including a parent identifier that identifies a parent collaborative electronic mail message corresponding to the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50).

43. Regarding claim 48, Hansen teaches the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 45, including a message identifier that identifies the collaborative electronic mail message (Hansen, col. 6, line 23-50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Bret Dennison whose telephone number is (703)305-8756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2143

Page 19

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Bret Dennison Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100